Case 1:13-mj-02814-UA Document 91 Filed 09/04/14 Page 1 of 5

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | GT | FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In the Matter of a Warrant to Search a Certain E-Mail Account Controlled and Maintained By Microsoft Corporation

Case Nos. 13-MAG-2814; M9-150

STIPULATION REGARDING CONTEMPT ORDER

In response to the Court's order of August 29, 2014, lifting the stay in execution of the July 31, 2014 order, the parties to this proceeding, Microsoft Corporation and the United States of America, hereby jointly stipulate:

- Microsoft has not fully complied with the Warrant, and Microsoft does not intend to so comply while it in good faith seeks further review of this Court's July 31 decision rejecting Microsoft's challenge to the Warrant.
- 2. While Microsoft continues to believe that a contempt order is not required to perfect an appeal, it agrees that the entry of an order of contempt would eliminate any jurisdictional issues on appeal. Thus, while reserving its rights to appeal any contempt order and the underlying July 31 ruling, Microsoft concurs with the Government that entry of such an order will avoid delays and facilitate a prompt appeal in this case.
- 3. The parties further agree that contempt sanctions need not be imposed at this time. The Government, however, reserves its right to seek sanctions, in

Case 1:13-mj-02814-UA Document 92 Filed 09/08/14 Page 2 of 5

Case 1:13-mj-02814-UA Document 91 Filed 09/04/14 Page 2 of 5

addition to the contempt order, in the case of (a) materially changed circumstances in the underlying criminal investigation, or (b) the Second Circuit's issuance of the mandate in the appeal, if this Court's order is affirmed and Microsoft continues not to comply with it.

Accordingly, to facilitate appellate review of this Court's July 31 ruling, the parties jointly request that the Court enter the attached order.

Dated:

September 4, 2014

New York, New York

Respectfully submitted,

PREET BHARARA
United States Attorney

Bv

JUSTIN ANDERSON

ŞERRIN TURNER

Assistant United States Attorneys

(212) 637-1035 / -1946

Counsel for the United States of America

Case 1:13-mj-02814-UA Document 92 Filed 09/08/14 Page 3 of 5

Case 1:13-mj-02814-UA Document 91 Filed 09/04/14 Page 3 of 5

/s/ Guy Petrillo

Guy Petrillo
Nelson A. Boxer
PETRILLO KLEIN & BOXER
LLP
655 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10017
Tel: 212.370.0330
gpetrillo@pkbllp.com

/s/ James Garland

Nancy Kestenbaum SDNY Bar # NK9768 Claire Catalano SDNY Bar # CC7432 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP The New York Times Building 620 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10018-1405

Tel: 212-841-1000 Fax: 212-841-1010 nkestenbaum@cov.com ccatalano@cov.com

James M. Garland*

Alexander A. Berengaut*

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

/s/ E. Joshua Rosenkranz

nboxer@pkbllp.com

E. Joshua Rosenkranz
Robert M. Loeb
Brian P. Goldman*
ORRICK, HERRINGTON
& SUTCLIFFE LLP
51 West 52nd Street
New York, NY 10019-6142
Tel: 212.506.5380
jrosenkranz@orrick.com
rloeb@orrick.com
brian.goldman@orrick.com

Washington, DC 20004-2401 Tel: 202.662.6000 Fax: 202.662.6291 jgarland@cov.com aberengaut@cov.com

*Admitted pro hac vice

Bradford L. Smith
David Howard
John Frank
Jonathan Palmer
Nathaniel Jones
MICROSOFT CORPORATION

Counsel for Microsoft Corporation

Case 1:13-mj-02814-UA Document 92 Filed 09/08/14 Page 4 of 5

Case 1:13-mj-02814-UA Document 91 Filed 09/04/14 Page 4 of 5

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In the Matter of a Warrant to Search a Certain E-Mail Account Controlled and Maintained By Microsoft Corporation

Case Nos. 13-MAG-2814; M9-150

ORDER

In accord with the parties' joint stipulation, and to permit prompt appellate review of this Court's July 31 ruling, this Court holds Microsoft Corporation in contempt for not complying in full with the Warrant, and imposes no other sanctions at this time. The Government may seek sanctions in the case of (a) materially changed circumstances in the underlying criminal investigation, or (b) the Second Circuit's issuance of the mandate in the appeal, if this Court's order is affirmed and Microsoft continues not to comply with it.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: Seplember 8, 2014

Chief United States District Judge

New York, New York

Case 1:13-mj-02814-UA Document 92 Filed 09/08/14 Page 5 of 5

Case 1:13-mj-02814-UA Document 91 Filed 09/04/14 Page 5 of 5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Justin Anderson affirms, under penalty of perjury, that he is employed in the Office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York, and that, on today's date, he caused a copy of this submission to be served by this Court's electronic filing system on counsel of record in this matter.

Dated:

September 4, 2014

New York, New York

JSTIN ANDERSON

Assistant United States Attorney

Tel: (212) 637-1035